

Weak measurements and new perspectives in quantum measurement

F. Piacentini¹, A. Avella¹, E. Rebufello¹, S. Virzi¹, M. Gramegna¹, G. Brida¹, I. Degiovanni¹, M. Genovese¹

¹ INRIM, strada delle cacce 91, 10135 Turin, Italy

In the last years, new quantum measurement paradigms emerged, that permit avoiding wave function collapse by means of a weak coupling between the state and the pointer. A first example is represented by weak values [1, 2], firstly realized in [3, 4, 5], that correspond to the matrix element of an observable evaluated between a pre- and a post-selected state. Beyond addressing foundational questions like Contextuality, weak values can also be seen as a quantum metrology tool able to achieve high-precision measurements, as tiny spin Hall effect [?] or small beam deflections [6, 7, 8, 9], and quantum states characterization [10]. Furthermore, since weak measurements do not make the wave function collapse, they may allow gathering simultaneous information of non-commuting observables [11], impossible with traditional strong measurements. After an initial introduction to weak measurements and a discussion of the regime of their application [12], in this talk we present an experiment addressed to explore the connection between anomalous weak values and Contextuality [13] following the theoretical proposal of Ref. [14]. A clear violation of the inequality proposed in [14] is obtained while satisfying all the theoretical requests, unequivocally demonstrating the contextual nature of weak measurements. Then, we show the results related to the first experimental realization of sequential weak measurements [15], i.e. a joint measurement of the weak value of (incompatible) polarizations of a single photon, discussing possible future applications. As a first application of this scheme, we describe our work concerning a test of Leggett-Garg inequalities based on sequential weak values [16], a result that can be used as a measure of quantumness. A second example of measurement in weak coupling regime is represented by protective measurements [17], a new paradigm granting the possibility of measuring the expectation value of a quantum observable with a single measurement on a single particle. They are realized coupling the state to a pointer in weak coupling regime and providing a protection of the state (e.g. through quantum Zeno effect or adiabatic evolution) based on some a priori information on state itself. This idea prompted a wide debate on the interpretation of the wave function (e.g. on its ontic nature), but we have also demonstrated a significant advantage of this measurement protocol in certain experimental configurations. Finally, we will discuss our first experimental realization of protective measurements [18], where this advantage is properly discussed, showing a certain analogy with genetic algorithm leading to the realization of genetic quantum measurements [19].

- [3] N. W. M. Ritchie, J. G. Story, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 66, 1107 (1991).
- [4] G. J. Pryde et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 220405 (2005).
- [5] O. Hosten and P. Kwiat, *Science* 319, 787 (2008).
- [6] K. J. Resch, *Science* 319, 733 (2008);
- [7] P.B. Dixon et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 173601 (2009);
- [8] H. Hogan et al., *Opt. Lett.* 36, 1698 (2011);
- [9] Omar S. Magaña-Loaiza et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112 200401 (2014)
- [10] J. Salvail et al, *Nature Photonics* DOI 10.1038/JLundeen, *Nature* 474 (2011) 188.
- [11] G. Mitchison, R. Jozsa and S. Popescu *PRA* 76 (2007) 062105.
- [12] F. Piacentini, A. Avella, M. Gramegna, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, G. Brida, I. P. Degiovanni, M. Genovese, arXiv:1709.04869
- [13] M. Pusey, *PRL* 113 (2014) 200401
- [14] F. Piacentini, A. Avella, M.P. Levi, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, F. Zappa, M. Gramegna, G. Brida, I.P. Degiovanni, M. Genovese *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116, 180401 (2016).
- [15] F. Piacentini, A. Avella, M.P. Levi, M. Gramegna, G. Brida, I.P. Degiovanni, E. Cohen, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, F. Zappa, and M. Genovese; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016) 17040
- [16] A. Avella, F. Piacentini, M. Borsarelli, M. Barbieri, M. Gramegna, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, I. Degiovanni, M. Genovese, *Phys. Rev. A* 96 (2017) 052123
- [17] Y. Aharonov, L. Vaidman, *Phys. Lett. A* 178, 38 (1993).
- [18] F. Piacentini, A. Avella, E. Rebufello, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, M. Gramegna, G. Brida, E. Cohen, L. Vaidman, I. Degiovanni, M. Genovese, *Nature Physics* (2017) 10.1038/nphys4223
- [19] F. Piacentini, A. Avella, E. Rebufello, S. Virz, R. Lussana, F. Villa, A. Tosi, M. Gramegna, G. Brida, E. Cohen, M. Paris, I. Degiovanni, M. Genovese, work in progress.

[1] A.G. Kofman, S. Ashhab, F. Nori, *Phys. Rep.* 520 (2012) 43; B. Tamir and E. Cohen, *Quanta* 2 (2013) 7.

[2] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 1351 (1988).